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ABSTRACT:

Objective: To test the hypothesis that platelet rich plasma (PRP) injection can minimize relapse of orthodontically moved teeth. Material and Methods: Thirty rabbits were randomly and equally divided into three groups (A, B and C). The mock group C (n=10) was further equally divided into C1 and C2 subgroups. A mesializing force was applied to the first molar on both sides in all groups. After achieving orthodontic movement, in groups A and B, (PRP) was injected on the experimental side while normal saline was injected on the control side. Animals in group C did not receive any injections. Animals in groups A and C1 were sacrificed after 1 week and animals in groups B and C2 were sacrificed after four weeks. Amount of first molar relapse in all groups was measured and compared. Results: After 1 week and 4 weeks relapse periods; the distance of relapse in the experimental group was reduced significantly (P <0.001) when compared with the control and mock groups. No statistically significant difference was found between the relapse observed in the experimental groups in 1 week and 4 weeks relapse periods; (29.09%) and (39.16%) respectively. However, relapse increased significantly (P <0.001) in both the control and mock groups from 1 week.
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to 4 weeks relapse period intervals. Conclusion: The injection of platelet rich plasma can significantly reduce the amount of orthodontic relapse following removal of the orthodontic force.

INTRODUCTION

A strong relationship between the stability of tooth position after orthodontic treatment and the level of patient satisfaction was found\(^1\).

The most common measure to overcome relapse is mechanical retention using a retainer. Several authors\(^2,3\) prescribe permanent mechanical retention as the only way to ensure long-term posttreatment stability, representing an extra burden on patients\(^4-7\). Therefore, finding a logical and safe solution for the unavoidable relapse represents a necessity.

**Biological control of tooth movement**

It is well documented that osteoclasts (resorption) and osteoblasts (opposition) are responsible for the alveolar bone remodelling process around the teeth roots resulting in orthodontic tooth movement \(^8\). Relapse following orthodontic treatment is due to tooth movement; however, in an undesired direction. Therefore, control of alveolar bone remodeling around teeth roots by influencing osteoblasts and/or osteoclasts activity can prevent tooth relapse.

The orthodontic literature shows successful biological control of orthodontic relapse by pharmacological agents either by inhibition of osteoclastic activity \(^9-11\) or by promoting osteoblasts function \(^12-14\).

**Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is a biologically safe osteoinductive material to regenerate bone**

In the past years, the use of autologous platelet rich plasma (PRP) has gained great popularity in a variety of medical fields. Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) use has been approved in the US and European Community for promotion of tissue regeneration in bone, cartilage, ligaments, and tendons in vivo and in humans \(^15-21\).
Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is a concentrate of platelets derived from autologous blood with a platelet count up to 5 times the physiologic platelet count in the patient’s blood. Human platelets store growth factors that are released from platelets upon their activation. The growth factors produced by human platelets include platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)\(^{(22,23)}\).

Therefore, PRP is considered to be a concentrated source of growth factors integral to bone healing\(^{(24–26)}\). Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) increases collagen deposition, initiates differentiation of progenitor cells towards osteoblastic lineages, and stimulates osteopontin expression\(^{(27–29)}\) and this has been shown to play an important role in the healing of bone and the periodontium\(^{(30)}\). Localized angiogenic factor delivery (VEGF) has proven beneficial for bone regeneration in numerous animal models by promoting neovascularization, bone turnover, osteoblast migration and mineralization\(^{(31,32)}\). Transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) acts as osteoinductive by inducing differentiation of osteoblasts from mesenchymal cells\(^{(33)}\).

Platelete rich plasma stimulates osteoblast activity\(^{(34)}\), accelerate new bone formation and increase new bone volume in the early phase of bone regeneration\(^{(35–37)}\). The use of PRP increases the vascularity with an increase of osteoblast and immature osteoid tissue formation; improving the quality and quantity of newly formed bone tissue\(^{(38,39)}\). If combined with a bone graft, PRP “Jump-starts” osteogenesis by releasing growth factors at the local site\(^{(40)}\), accelerating the rate and degree of bone formation in the bone graft\(^{(41)}\).

The use of (PRP) as a biological retainer has not been studied in the literature. A hypothesis that; local injection of platelet rich plasma (PRP) into periodontal tissues following orthodontic tooth movement can minimize orthodontic tooth relapse by promoting osteogenesis and inhibiting osteoclastogenesis needs to be tested.
The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of periodontal injection of platelet rich plasma (PRP) following orthodontic tooth movement on the amount of relapse of orthodontically moved teeth in a rabbit model.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study animals

Thirty white male New Zealand rabbits were used.

Study design

Animals were randomly and equally allocated into one of three groups A, B and C according to a previously generated table using a software program*. In groups A and B; a split-mouth design was used and right and left sides were randomly assigned as experimental and control sides. The experimental side received (PRP) injection, whereas, the control side received saline injection. Group C (mock group); were used to study the physiologic tooth movement following orthodontic tooth movement without any further interventions.

In group A (n=10): Animals were sacrificed after one week of removing orthodontic force. On the other hand, animals were sacrificed after four weeks of removing orthodontic force in group B (n=10). Group C (n=10) was further subdivided into 2 subgroups; group C1 (n=5) and group C2 (n=5) where animals were sacrificed after one week and after 4 weeks respectively of removing the orthodontic force.

Procedures

Rabbits were anesthetized for the procedures by intramuscular injection of Ketamine at a dose of 35mg/kg and Xylazine at a dose of 5mg/kg**.

An impression** was taken for the mandibles of all the animals as an initial record of the interdental space between the first and the second molar teeth (T1) (Fig. 1).

* Urbaniak, G. C., & Plous, S; Research Randomizer (Version 5.0)
**Speedex light body, Coltene Valedent, Switzerland.
Fig. 1. (A) Special tray prepared for impression making, (B) Impression tray with light body rubber base after impression making.

A NiTi closed coil spring was fixed between each lower first molar and the incisors using 0.010” ligature wire passing interdentally between 1st and 2nd molar and wrapped around the 1st molar, and delivering 100 grams of force (43–46) (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. A dried rabbit's mandible showing the appliance design. (A) occlusal view and (B) lateral view.
In order to avoid dislodgement of the appliance and lessen soft tissue irritation of any wire projections; enamel was etched with 37% phosphoric acid for 30 seconds around the coronal portions of the molars and the incisors, and a thin coat of flowable composite* was applied to the etched surfaces and the overlying ligature wire and cured\(^{(44,45)}\). A piece of ligature wire was used to ligate the second molar to the third molar to prevent any possible movement of the second molar with the mesial movement of the first molar by the effect of the gingival interseptal fibers.

**Preparation of (PRP):**

Preparation of the (PRP) was done at the laboratories of the Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, after 21 days of active orthodontic tooth movement using the 2 step preparation method\(^{(47,48)}\).

After 21 days of active tooth movement;

- Animals were anesthetized again
- Springs were removed
- An impression was taken to the mandibles of all the animals to measure the distance between first and second molars on both sides using a digital caliper with accuracy of 0.01 mm (T2). Three successive measurements were made, and their mean values were used to determine the amount of first molar mesialization.
- Injection of the prepared (PRP) was done around the first molars (experimental side) of all the animals in groups (A & B), whereas, normal saline was injected around the teeth assigned as controls.
- All the first molars were left without retainers to allow relapse of the mesialized molars to occur by returning toward their original distal positions.

* Z350 XT flow, 3M ESPE, Calif, USA.
Animal Euthanasia

Animal euthanasia was executed following the American Veterinary Medical Association guidelines. Decapitation was performed after administration of 100mg/kg intraperitoneal sodium pentobarbital.

Following animal Euthanasia:

- An impression was taken to the mandibles of all the animals, and the distance between first and second molars was measured on both sides using a digital caliper with accuracy of 0.01 mm (T3). Three successive measurements were made, and their mean values were used.

  The amount of first molar movement, first molar relapse, and relapse percentage were calculated according to the following formulas:

  - Amount of first molar movement = T2 – T1
  - Amount of first molar relapse = amount of first molar movement – T3
  - Relapse percentage = (amount of relapse x 100) / amount of first molar movement

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were expressed as means ± standard deviations. Statistical significance for platelet count was calculated using paired–t test. Statistical significance for mean amount of first molar relapse between similar groups at different relapse times was calculated using two-sample t-test. Statistical significance for mean amount of first molar relapse among different groups at each relapse period was calculated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post hoc Tukey’s test when the ANOVA suggested a significant difference. Differences with a P value less than 0.05 were considered significant.
RESULTS

After 3 weeks of force application, tooth movement of the first molars ranged from 3 mm to 3.8 mm, with a mean movement of $3.3 \pm 0.23$ mm. There were no significant differences in the amount of first molar movement ($T2 - T1$) between the different groups.

After 1 week of relapse, the distance and percentage of relapse in the experimental group ($0.96 \pm 0.27$ mm; $28.79\% \pm 7.07\%$) was significantly less ($P < 0.001$) than the control group ($1.57 \pm 0.3$ mm; $47.7\% \pm 6.5\%$) and the mock group ($1.59 \pm 0.13$ mm; $48.7\% \pm 2.3\%$). No significant difference was observed between the control and the mock groups (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4).

![Bar graph showing initial tooth movement and amount of relapse in the three study groups after 1 week relapse period.](image-url)
Fig. 4. A bar graph showing percent of relapse in the three study groups at different relapse periods. The percentage of relapse is distance of relapse/distance of tooth movement.

Fig. 5. A bar graph showing initial tooth movement and amount of relapse in the three study groups after 4 weeks relapse period.
After 4 week of relapse, the distance and percentage of relapse in the experimental group (1.32 ± 0.46 mm; 38.6% ± 10.6%) was also significantly less than the distance and percentage of relapse in both the control group and the mock group; (3.1 ± 0.22 mm; 93.73% ± 1.15%) and (3.11 ± 0.27 mm; 93.92% ± 1.1%) respectively. No significant difference was observed between the control and the mock groups (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5).

No statistically significant difference was found between the relapse observed in the experimental groups in 1 week and 4 weeks relapse periods. However, relapse increased significantly (P<0.001) in both the control and the mock groups from 1 week to 4 weeks relapse period intervals (Fig. 4).

A comparison of the amounts of relapse between different study groups at different relapse intervals is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experimental Group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Mock group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount of relapse (mm)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean ± SD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>1 week relapse</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relapse period</td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANOVA P value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 weeks relapse</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relapse period</td>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANOVA P value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>t test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>12.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of mean amount of relapse between different study groups at different relapse intervals.
DISCUSSION

This randomized controlled animal study was carried out to test the hypothesis that platelet rich plasma (PRP) can be used as a safe biological retainer to minimize or prevent tooth relapse following orthodontic tooth movement. The literature reported some successful attempts for biological control of relapse using pharmacological drugs\textsuperscript{(9–14)}. However, the use of PRP has superior advantages over any other pharmacological drug. PRP is an autologous blood product, hence; there is no risk of infectious disease transmission or any unexpected local or systemic adverse reactions. PRP preparation is very easy and simple, and is always executed as a chair side procedure\textsuperscript{(41)}.

The spring design and the active tooth-movement period of 21 days were chosen in this study in accordance with other studies with rabbits\textsuperscript{(43–46)}. Tipping movements were expected due to the spring design used over short periods of activation, ensuring that the teeth would be moved into an unstable position to increase the chance of relapse and to assess the efficiency of the proposed method of retention more clearly. The spring used in this study required no laboratory preparation and was easily applied to the rabbits’ teeth. No dislocated or lost springs were observed in any of the study animals during the experiment.

An interesting finding was noted in this study that relapse showed the greatest amount in the first week after removal of the orthodontic force; the relapse in the control group and mock group after 1 week was almost half of the total distance (47.7% and 48.7% respectively). Then relapse continued at a slower rate with an almost complete relapse after 4 weeks in both groups. The experimental group showed the same behavior of tooth relapse, however, at a very much less amounts of first molar relapse. The experimental group showed most of the first molar relapse at the 1 week period (28.8%) then it continued at a slower rate till the end of the 4 weeks relapse period (38.6%). This might suggest that PRP injection speeded the remodeling of the PDL and promoted new bone formation at a higher rate helping in faster stabilization of the new tooth position. These findings comes in accordance with Hassan AH, Al-Hubail A and Al-Fraidi AA\textsuperscript{(13)} who injected bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs)
around orthodontically moved teeth and Han G et al\textsuperscript{(12)} after systemic administration of simvastatin following removal of orthodontic forces. Both (BMPs) and simvastatin are bone inductive materials. Their administration resulted in significant new bone formation and faster bone maturation in the treated models in comparison to the control subjects. Rapid relapse might possibly be due to a rebound in PDL compression\textsuperscript{(49)}. Possibly, relapse energy stored in the collagenous periodontal and transseptal fiber systems was gradually released after spring removal\textsuperscript{(50,51)}, resulting in faster and greater relapse in the first week. As the energy dissipated, the speed and extent of relapse stepped down.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Within the limitations of the current study platelet rich plasma can be claimed a novel method of biological retention in which a biologically safe material is used to retain the teeth.

2. The periodontal injection of autologous platelet rich plasma (PRP) reduced the amount of relapse by 20\% and 55\% after 1 week and after 4 weeks respectively from removal of orthodontic forces when compared to the controls.

3. Relapse tends to happen immediately following removal of the orthodontic forces at the highest rate; where 48\% of the achieved tooth movement relapsed after 1 week of orthodontic force removal in the control group. Therefore, immediate retention is necessary.
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